2015 Continuum of Care (CoC)
Proposed Ranking Policy and Process

The Orange County Continuum of Care (CoC) will use the following process to rank all project/program applications in the 2015 Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Section I outlines **HUD requirements** that will apply to CoC application and ranking process.

Section II outlines the Orange County CoC's Policies Relating to **Re-Allocation, Project Ranking, and Project Tiers**

Section III outlines the process and criteria for ranking **Renewal Projects**

Section IV outlines the process and criteria for ranking **New Projects**

Section V outlines the policy and process for developing the final **Project Priority Listing**

Attachment A: Ranking and Reallocation Policy (Approved, 2015)

Attachment B: Scoring System for Renewal Permanent Housing and Transitional Housing for DV

Attachment C: Scoring System for Renewal Transitional Housing

Attachment D: Scoring System for New Projects

Section I: HUD Requirements

On September 17, 2014, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) published the *Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Program*.   Applications are due to HUD by November 20, 2015.

A. Ranking Requirements
The NOFA requires that each CoC conduct a transparent and objective process to review and   rank all applications for renewal of existing projects and creation of new projects.   Ranking of renewal projects must incorporate data on project performance and effectiveness.

B. Re-Allocation
CoCs may use funds taken in whole or in part from existing grants to create new projects through re-allocation. Through the reallocation process CoCs may create the following type of new projects:
   a. CoCs may create new permanent supportive housing projects where all beds will be dedicated for use by chronically homeless individuals and families, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3.
   b. CoCs may create new rapid re-housing projects for homeless individuals and families who enter directly from the streets or emergency shelters, youth up to age 24, and persons who meet the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.
   c. CoCs may create a new Supportive Services Only (SSO) project specifically for a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
   d. CoCs may create a new dedicated Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) project for the costs at 24 CFR 578.37 that must be carried out by the HMIS Lead.

C. New Permanent Housing Bonus
Approved 10/16/2015

CoCs may create new projects through the permanent housing bonus up to 15 percent of the CoC's FPRN for the following types of new projects:

    a. CoCs may create new permanent supportive housing projects that will serve 100 percent chronically homeless families and individuals, and

    b. CoCs may create new rapid re-housing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families coming directly from the streets or emergency shelters, and includes persons fleeing domestic violence situations and other persons meeting the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.

D. Tiers

HUD will continue the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding process; however the process in the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition is completely different from the past CoC Program Competitions. In the FY 2015 NOFA, Tier 1 is equal to 85 percent the CoC's ARD amount approved on the final HUD-approved GIW and projects in this tier will be conditionally selected from the highest scoring CoC to the lowest scoring CoC, provided the project applications pass both eligibility and threshold review. Any type of new or renewal project application can be placed in Tier 1.

Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the CoC's ARD **plus any amount available for the permanent housing bonus**. This does not include the amounts available for CoC planning and UFA Costs. Projects placed in Tier 2 will be assessed for eligibility and threshold requirements, and funding will be determined using the CoC Application score as well as the factors listed in the NOFA.

E. HUD Priority Order:

In the FY 2015 NOFA, HUD does not a project priority rank order as in past years. Under the FY 2015 NOFA, HUD is making a more aggressive push to fully implement the goals of the HEARTH legislation. The NOFA emphasizes the use of performance and outcome data to prioritize allocating resources, maximizing connections with mainstream resources and reviewing the cost-effectiveness and performance of transitional housing programs when serving certain homeless populations.

HUD is encouraging CoCs to evaluate all of the projects and determine what is most effective at meeting the needs of the homeless populations' in the community and reallocate funds accordingly. Through the reallocation process, a CoC can create new permanent supportive housing projects for chronically homeless individuals and families, create new rapid re-housing projects for homeless individuals and families or for unaccompanied youth up or for victims of domestic violence or those fleeing human trafficking situations. In addition, HUD's homeless Policy and Program priorities stated in the NOFA include: Ending Chronic Homelessness; Ending Family Homelessness; Ending Youth Homelessness; and Ending Veteran Homelessness. There is also an emphasis on Housing First and programs implementing a Housing First model.

<u>Section II:</u>   **Orange County Policy on Project Re-Allocation, Ranking and Tiering**

A. Policy Objectives

In developing our local policy governing project ranking, re-allocation, and tiering, Orange County's objectives are to:

- Comply with all HUD requirements;
- Preserve funding for high performing projects;
- Fund Coordinated Entry when possible with ongoing existing funding streams, such as a CoC grant;
- Prioritize Veteran housing needs in alignment with the Commission's Action Plan goal of Ending Veteran Homelessness;
- Encourage shifting of resources from lower performing projects to new projects that help advance our community's goal of reducing homelessness.

B. Re-Allocation Policy

On May 29, 2015 the Commission to End Homelessness approved the preliminary Reallocation recommendations. The preliminary recommendations adopted in May accounted for the following options:

A. Reallocation from Agency Administrative Review – Projects that do not pass Part I Review
B. Reallocation of Unspent funds
C. Review and Ranking of Low Performing Transitional Housing (as in 2014 cycle) including Domestic Violence projects (using Project Performance Reports)
D. Other Reallocation Options in NOFA (pending Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD] NOFA release)

The approved reallocation included the funding of an SSO project for the federal Coordinated Entry mandate and prioritizing housing for chronically homeless and preferences for Veterans.

Through the Letter of Intent (LOI) Part I, items A and B were assessed for all agencies. This process produced a total of $1,092,993 in reallocated funds. Of this $235,749 was from an analysis of unspent funds. The remaining balance ($857,244) was from one agency that selected **not** to participate in the 2015 CoC funding competition. This allowed for the reallocation of their funds.

1. **Voluntary Reallocation**
   Through the LOI/Part II process two agencies voluntarily reallocated two Transitional Housing projects. With the reallocations the funds available for new projects is $520,373.

C. General Project Review and Ranking Policy
The Orange County CoC will invite submissions for new and renewal projects and will conduct a review and ranking following the procedures established in Section III and IV.

The general approach to rating and ranking will be to organize projects into three groups:
(1) Renewal PH and RRH;
(2) New PH and RRH;
(3) Renewal transitional housing

Within each type, projects will be scored using a scoring system specific to that program type and placed in their ranked order, with renewal PSH and RRH in the first group (ordered by score), the new PSH and RRH in the second group (ordered by score) and the renewal Transitional Housing in the third group (ordered by score).

D. Tiering Policy
Once the rank order of projects has been determined the projects at the bottom of the list (below 85% of the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) will fall into Tier 2).

In light of the fact that Tier I was reduced to 85% (from 95%) and that the combined PSH, RRH, HMIS and SSO/CE projects in our CoC exceed the 85% Tier I funding threshold, this year there will be lower performing PSH and or RRH in Tier II. Lower performing PSH and RRH projects will be considered for Tier II above TH projects. All TH will be in Tier II. Projects will be ranked based by type PH (PSH and RRH) and TH. Additionally, new bonus projects will also be ranked above TH in following with HUD's Tier II scoring guidance. The ranking will be a weighted score based on the possible points for that project.

As HMIS and Social Services Only (SSO) project for Coordinated Entry (CE) are HUD mandated requirements in order to receive Continuum of Care funding, they are recommended as Tier 1 projects in order to secure the funding for this authorized activity.

The CoC Planning Grant is not ranked per HUD's guidance.

Approved 10/16/2015

The CoC reserves the option of re-ordering the project list to place projects to best position Orange County to receive the maximum overall amount of funding (which includes a project that may straddle Tier I and Tier II).

Section III: Process for Rating and Ranking of Renewal Projects
A. Rating and Ranking Process

The Orange County CoC began its evaluation of renewal projects through two processes: Agency Administrative Review (Part I) and Project Review (Part II) to determine which agencies and projects are eligible to submit an application in the 2015 HUD CoC NOFA.

The general purpose of the LOI/Part I process is to 1) assess agency's capacity to administer CoC homeless projects while complying with HUD requirements; 2) determine which agencies are eligible to proceed to LOI/Part II; and 3) identify the amount of funds to be reallocated and how these funds will be reallocated. The results of the LOI/Part I process will be presented to the Ad Hoc Committee for recommendation and the Commission to End Homelessness Executive Committee for final approval.

On May 1, 2015 the LOI Part I was released and due on May 22, 2015. All renewal agencies were invited to participate. Of the 21 agencies invited, 20 submitted their application for the 2015 competition. One agency, Veteran's First opted not to participate. Their funds were reallocated.

LOI Part II was released on Oct. 2, 2015 and applications are/were due on Oct. 16, 2015. All renewal projects will be ranked using an objective scoring system approved by the C2eH as recommended through the CoC Ad Hoc Committee. The LOI/Part II includes review of the following:
> a. Project Performance Review
> b. Annual Performance Report (APR)
> c. Financial Commitment: Leverage and Match
> d. Housing First Model Assessment

Staff from 2-1-1-OC and OCCS will use data provided through the LOI process, performance data from the HUD recommended System-Wide Analytics and Projection(SWAP) tool (TH only) and HMIS data from 211OC to develop the Project Priority Listing for review and approval by the C2eH as recommended through the CoC Ad Hoc Committee (see Section V).

B. Scoring Criteria for Renewal Permanent Housing

The scoring system for PSH will have a maximum of 100 points, with 80 points for project performance and 20 points for threshold factors.   Data to assess both performance and threshold criteria will be obtained from the information submitted by the applicants in their LOI response.

The performance measures will be based on those established by HUD:
1. at least 80 percent of project participants either remained in permanent housing, or exited from transitional housing to permanent housing;
2. a. at least 20 percent or more of project participants have employment income (or at least 20 percent or more of project participants have other income sources such as SSI and/or SSDI, for those who are not employable);
   b. at least 54 percent of project participants increased their income from sources other than employment in a given operating year;
3. at least 56 percent of project participants obtained mainstream benefits
4. at least 90 percent on Bed utilization measure

New or recently reallocated PH projects (PSH or RRH) may not have data to be used in the review because they have not been operational for a full year. These projects may receive 0 points for performance. Their overall score may therefore place them in the bottom of the group of PSH and RRH renewals. This will be taken into consideration in the ranking process.

Approved 10/16/2015

The point scoring system for all Renewal projects is Attachment B.

C. Scoring Criteria for Renewal Rapid ReHousing
The scoring system for RRH will have a maximum of 100 points, with 80 points for project performance and 20 points for threshold factors.   As all RRH are recently reallocated projects there isn't sufficient data for the SWAP tool application which requires 2 years of data. Additionally, most have not submitted their 1st year Annual Performance Reports (APR). Therefore they will be reviewed with on two measures: 1) Recent data quality as posted on the HMIS website and 2) percent of clients that entered from homelessness (streets and emergency shelters). The 20 points for threshold factors will be assessed using information provided in the LOI process and will match the scoring system for renewal PSH.

The point scoring system for all Renewal projects is Attachment B.

D. Scoring Criteria for Renewal Transitional Housing
The scoring system will have a maximum of 100 points, with 80 points for project performance and 20 points for threshold factors.   The project performance score will be based on the SWAP tool results with the highest performing project receiving 80 points, the next project will receive 1/12 less points and so on. This is the result of 80 points maximum and a total of 12 projects. The 20 points for threshold factors will be assessed using information provided in the LOI process and will match the scoring system for renewal PSH.

The point scoring system for all Renewal projects is Attachment B.

E. HMIS Renewal
Consistent with previous CoC applications, HMIS Renewals will be exempt from the full Letter of Intent (LOI) but will be assessed for performance and spending in alignment with HUD requirements. As noted in Section II, the HMIS renewal will be placed in Tier 1.

Section IV: N**ew Projects**
As approved by the Commission (May 29, 2015) and to further the goal of right-sizing the Orange County CoC, projects with unspent funds and not recommended for further participation in the LOI Par I were to be reallocated. A total of $1,092,993 was reallocated to new projects. Additionally, the Orange County CoC may apply for up to approximately $2.9 million for bonus permanent housing projects.

As approved by the Commission, a new SSO project for the HUD mandated Coordinated Entry system will be funded from the reallocated funds. The remaining $185,754 was released in the RFP for new a PSH or RRH project.

A. New Housing Projects from Reallocated and Bonus Projects Funds
Reallocated funds and new bonus funds will be awarded through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process.
The following new projects were solicited in the RFP.

	Project Type and Population	Amount Available
Bonus:	Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) for chronically homeless individuals or Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) for homeless individuals	$2,930,475
Reallocation:	Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) for chronically homeless individuals and families or Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) for homeless individuals and families	$520,373

The RFP will be structured to award funds to projects that: (1) meet Orange County's system right-sizing priorities; and (2) are most competitive and likely to receive HUD funding. In addition, projects must meet HUD's threshold and quality requirements. The RFP will require applicants to submit project narrative, applicant capacity, and financial information sufficient to assess all of these factors.

Approved 10/16/2015

Additionally, a policy goal of HUD included in the FY 2015 NOFA is a commitment to End Veteran Homelessness. The Orange County CoC shares the commitment to ending Veteran homelessness. In order to help reach that goal, the CoC sought eligible applications for permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing projects that will have a preference for Veterans. Up to 5 bonus points are therefore available for eligible applicants that demonstrate the capacity and ability to implement a homeless Veterans preference in their project.

To evaluate whether projects meet HUD threshold and quality standards, the projects will be reviewed by outside technical consultants. Any correctable deficiencies will be identified and applicants will have the opportunity to submit corrected documents. Applications that are substantially deficient will not be advanced to the rating and ranking stage (see below).

To evaluate project quality and fit with Orange County system objectives and develop a ranked order, the CoC will convene an unbiased project review panel to review each new project. Projects will be scored based on a 100 point system. The panel will receive the applications and scoring instructions in advance of the meeting. The panel will then hold one meeting to review and average their scores and arrive at a proposed final ranking for new projects, including a recommendation about which project should be submitted as the permanent housing bonus. The panel may also recommend that projects either increase or decrease their funding request to maximize the use of available re-allocated or bonus funds.

The final ranking of new projects as determined by the Panel will be used to determine their order on the Project Priority Listing. As indicated in Section II new project will be ranked above TH projects.

B. New SSO Project for Coordinated Entry (CE) in Orange County
As a HUD mandated activity, like the HMIS grant, the new SSO for CE will be exempt from the Request for Proposal (RFP for new projects). Given that 211OC is the CoC's HMIS and CE lead, the CoC will recognize 211OC as the project applicant. Funding the SSO/CE is in alignment with HUD requirements and the funding of HMIS which is also a HUD mandate. As noted in Section II, the SSO/CE will be placed in Tier 1.

C. Planning Grant
HUD is inviting all CoCs to apply for a Planning grant which provide funds for activities that will carried out by the CoC and will ensure compliance with the provisions of 24 CFR 578.7 as well as the associated planning activities at 24 CFR 578.39.

The CoC Planning Grant is not ranked per HUD's guidance.

Section V: Final Project Priority List and Notification to Applicants
Once the rating and ranking processes for new and renewal projects are complete, staff from 2-1-1OC and OCCS will integrate results of the scoring/ranking processes (renewal PH, renewal TH and new projects) and create the final proposed Project Priority list for review by the CoC Ad Hoc Committee. This proposed list can include recommendations to adjust the placement of projects in Tier 2 in order to maximize the total funding award for Orange County. The proposed final list will be approved by the C2eH and notice sent to applicants of the final results no later than <u>Nov. 4, 2015</u>.

Section VI: Appeal Process
Applicants may appeal any of the following decisions of the C2eH.
   1.   Placement of project into Tier 2

Applicants placed in Tier 1 may not appeal their rank on the Project Priority List.
Appeals must be submitted in writing to 2-1-1OC no later than Nov. 5, 2015. Appeals will be heard by the non-conflicted members of the CoC Ad Hoc Committee who did not serve on the review panel. The decision of the appeal panel is final.

Approved 10/16/2015

Attachment A

<div align="center">

2015 Continuum of Care (CoC)
Ranking and Reallocation Process Recommendations

</div>

Background

On April 23, 2015 the CoC Ad Hoc Committee held a meeting with the Executive Directors of the HUD CoC funded providers to discuss the processed changes to the LOI process and draft recommendation of reallocation as part of the 2015 CoC grant application. Given the support for the proposed process and draft reallocation recommendations, the same was presented to the Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee of the Commission approved the following process and preliminary reallocation recommendations at the April 24, 2015 meeting.

I.   Reallocation Policy Recommendations
Recommend that 2015 Continuum of Care (CoC) Funding cycle focus on the following options:
A.   Reallocation from Agency Administrative Review – Projects under Agency that do not pass Part I Review
B.   Reallocation of Unspent funds
C.   Reallocation of Low Performing Transitional Housing (as in 2014 cycle) including Domestic Violence projects
D.   Other Reallocation Options in NOFA (pending Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD] NOFA release)

II.   Letter of Intent (LOI) Renewal Process
Recommend the LOI process to be bifurcated into two parts: 1) Agency Administrative Review and 2) Project Application Submission
A.   **LOI Part I: Agency Administrative Review**
        i.   Agency Administrative Review – Includes the technical & presentation requirements (late submissions, incomplete), financial stability, HUD monitoring, CoC Participation, Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality, unspent funds, etc.
        ii.   Outcome of failed Administrative Review will constitute forfeiture of funds for reallocation.
        iii. Those successful in Part I will go to Part II

B.   **LOI Part II: Project Application Submission**
Part II of the LOI will be finalized and released after HUD releases the NOFA which is anticipated in late May/early June.
        i.   Project Performance
        ii.   Subject to local CoC policy
        iii. Subject to HUD guidelines

III.   Performance Data from Focus Strategies for Transitional Housing (TH)
Obtain comparison data from Focus Strategies for TH to be used in the same manner as in the 2014 funding cycle for the 2015 cycle.
On April 28, 2015 HUD posted the Co Program Registration Notice informing the CoCs of various options for planning grants, reallocation, etc. The notice provided information about policies being implemented for the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition and certain requirements that all applicants should consider.

On May 7, 2015 the Ad Hoc Committee met to review the 2015 Registration Notice information and options. At the May 29, 2015 the Ad Hoc recommended to the Commission program and reallocation options from the HUD Registration Notice. At the Commission meeting the following was approved.

2015 CoC Funding Cycle Registration Summary of Options, Information and Recommendations

Approved 10/16/2015

A. **Planning Grant 3%** - Collaborative Applicants may request CoC planning costs up to the <u>full 3 percent</u> or $1,250,000, whichever is less, of the FY 2015 Final Pro Rata Need (FPRN). Orange County CoC 3% is $586,095.
   - *Recommend that Orange County apply for full amount of Planning Grant for 2015 - Approved*
B. **Admin 10% Option –** Project Applicants can get the full 10% admin this year, when previously they could only get 7%. However, the additional admin has to come from another line item (except for first time renewals of old SHP or S+C Projects).
   Projects that are requesting renewal for the first time may request project administrative funds in an amount up to 7 percent of the total project budget without being required to move funds from another budget line item.
   - *Recommend that through the LOI process agencies wishing to increase to 10% administration be required to split the increase (50%/50%) to fund the Coordinated Entry (CE) system. This payment would be paid to 211OC as the CE administrator- Approved*

Or

   - *Providers that select NOT TO increase their Admin line item, as allowed per the NOFA, would pay an equivalent of 1.5% of their total grant amount to 211OC to cover CE costs from other program funds - Approved*
C. **Reallocation Options:** HUD is going to allow projects created through re-allocation to include: permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless people; rapid re-housing for families <u>and single adults</u>; dedicated HMIS; and <u>new SSO projects for Coordinated Entry</u>. This could be particularly relevant for Orange County since it offers a possible funding source for CE.
   - *Recommend that at least 1 Reallocation be used to fund SSO for Coordinated Entry and it be placed in Tier 1 - Approved*
   - *Consider RRH and PSH for single adults; RRH newly an option for single adults. To be reviewed in conjunction with 2015 PIT numbers – Approved*

D. **High Performing Communities Designation Option** – This year HUD is rolling out the High Performing Communities (HPC) designation, which is required in the HEARTH Act but to date has not been implemented. The Registration Notice spells out what criteria are required to be designated as an HPC. Criteria include such as having a mean length of homelessness of fewer than 20 days, 5% rate of return to homelessness, full expenditure of past grants, and others factors. Communities designated as HPCs are allowed to use CoC funds for prevention activities for people at-risk of homelessness.
   - *The Orange County CoC does not meet HPC designation. See attached handout.*

E. **Unified Funding Application Designation Option –**
   - *The Orange County CoC will not be applying as a UFA in 2015.*

<div align="center">

Attachment B

</div>

Approved 10/16/2015

2015 Orange County CoC Renewals				
Project:		*Type:*		
Agency:				

<table>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Performance Measures - pick ONE from below**</td></tr>
<tr><td>☐ **PH Performance Measures**</td><td>**Max. 80 Points**</td><td>**Points**</td><td colspan="2">**Comments**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Attachment 3a: Project Perf Renewal/Self Cert AND Attachment 3b**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">1) at least 80 percent of project participants either remained in permanent housing, or exited from transitional housing to permanent housing; (20 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">2) at least 20 percent or more of project participants have employment income (or at least 20 percent or more of project participants have other income sources such as SSI and/or SSDI, for those who are not employable); (20 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2" align="center">**OR**</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">at least 54 percent of project participants increased their income from sources other than employment in a given operating year; (20 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">3) at least 56 percent of project participants obtained mainstream benefits (20 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">4) Bed utilization - at least 90% (20 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">LOI - APR (Q10 and Q11 of APR-average 1st number)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">100% = 20 points; 65% - 99% = 15 points; below 65% = 0 points</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Scoring Criteria**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">All 4 performance measures will receive 80 points.</td><td></td><td colspan="2">2 of 4 performance measures will receive 40 points.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">3 of 4 performance measures will receive 60 points.</td><td></td><td colspan="2">0 or 1 of 4 performance measures will receive 0 points.</td></tr>
<tr><td>☐ **RRH Performance Measures**</td><td>**Max. 80 Points**</td><td>**Points**</td><td colspan="2">**Comments**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Project Entry from homelessness from HMIS and 2nd Qtr Universal Report AND Attachment 3b**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">1) 100 Percent or more of project participants come from literally homeless or emergency shelter [100% = 40 points; 99%- 90% = 30 points; below 90 = 0 points] (40 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">2) at least 90 percent Of Quarterly Data as posted on Orange County HMIS website met HUDs standards [100% = 40 points; 99%- 90% = 30 points; below 90 = 0 points] (40 points)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Scoring Criteria**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">All 2 performance measures will receive 80 points.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">1 of 2 performance measures will receive 40 points.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">0 performance measures will receive 0 points.</td></tr>
<tr><td>☐ **TH Performance Measures**</td><td>**Max. 80 Points**</td><td>**Points**</td><td colspan="2">**Comments**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**System Wide Analytics and Projections (SWAP) Tool AND Attachment 3b**</td></tr>
<tr><td>No. on Ranking from Focus Strategies Chart</td><td>**-6.66 each subsequent ranked project**</td><td></td><td colspan="2">**80 points/10 projects = 8**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Scoring Criteria**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**Maximum 80 Points (based on Focus Strategies)**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">☐ **N/A - new renewal**</td></tr>
<tr><td>**Threshold Renewal - Applies to All Projects**</td><td>**Max. 20 Points**</td><td>**Points**</td><td colspan="2">**Comments**</td></tr>
<tr><td>**LOI - Part I**</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Fiscal Audit - 2 pts*</td><td>No Findings = 2 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*LOI Part I - Agency Review*</td><td>Conditions Letter Sent = 0 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*CoC Strategic Participation - 2 pts*</td><td>Max 2 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Attendance & participation*</td><td>24 + Meetings = 2 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>18-23 Meetings = 1.5 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>12 - 17 meetings = 1 pt</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>less than 12 meetings = 0 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*HMIS Review - 10 pts*</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*LOI Part I - Agency Review*</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>**LOI - Part II**</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Leverage & Match - 1 pt*</td><td>150%-199% = 1 pt</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>LOI – esnaps application– Q7I and Q7J – calculation</td><td>less than 150% = 0 pts</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>confirm in esnaps application for any changes</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Housing First - 5 pts*</td><td>Max 5 pts (currently in existence)</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>3 pts = planning</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>0 pts = no plan</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>**Total Performance Points (Max is 80 points)**</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>**Threshold Renewal Points (Max is 20 points)**</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>**Total Amount of Available Points**</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>**% of Scored Points to Total Available**</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Reviewer:*</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Date:*</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>*Signature:*</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">**2015 Tie Breakers**</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Tie Breaker: Applicants receiving the highest scores in the following categories (in order) will be ranked higher- Performance Measures, Project Performance, HMIS Review, Housing First and CoC Strategic Participation. Tie Breaker will be based on the # of overall served.</td></tr>
</table>